

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,027	07/28/2003	Peter Calandra JR.	MBRAUN.1000	7634
75	590 03/15/2005		EXAMINER	
HAYES SOLOWAY PC			MAUST, TIMOTHY LEWIS	
175 Canal Stree	et			
Manchester, NH 03101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3751	
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	[ A 10 44 - )	Mk_			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/629,027	CALANDRA, PETE	R			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Timothy L Maust	3751				
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	fress			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NG - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and precipitation of the provision of the pr	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, main. a reply within the statutory minimum of the statutor	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this core a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	28 July 2003					
2a)□		This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 July 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob the drawing(s) be held in abe rrection is required if the draw	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFI				
<b>Priority</b>	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have be preau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National S	Stage			
Attachmer	nt(e)						
	n(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intervie	ew Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE er No(s)/Mail Date 10/3/03 & 3/3/04.	) Paper l	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO	-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/629,027

Art Unit: 3751

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 10, 14-17, 21, 26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bond et al.

In regard to claims 1 and 25, the Bond et al. reference discloses a "system" (Fig. 2) for solvent purification, comprising a "holding portion" 14, a "filter" 24, a "computer" (see col. 8, lines 17-34), a "peripheral device" (i.e., laptop computer or touchscreen) and "collection vessel" 12, as claimed.

In regard to claim 4, electronic selection can be received "remotely" via a laptop computer (see col. 8, lines 32-34).

Application/Control Number: 10/629,027

Art Unit: 3751

In regard to claims 2, 10, 26 and 28, the defined commands are capable of being programmed into the system via a laptop or touchscreen.

In regard to claim 5, see unit 1200 in Figure 12.

In regard to claim 6, the filter and solvent holding portion are capable of being detached from the system and located within separate areas.

In regard to claims 14-17 and 21, the method as claimed would be inherent during normal use and operation of the device.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pangborn et al. in view of Bond et al.

In regard to claims 1-28, the Pangborn et al. reference discloses a solvent purification system (see page 1519) substantially as claimed, but does not disclose having an automated system to control the process. However, the Bond et al. reference (discussed supra) discloses another purification system that is automated (see above) in order to carry out the process of purification automatically. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Pangborn et al. system to have a processor in view of the teachings of the Bond et al. reference in order to automate the purification process.

Art Unit: 3751

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy L Maust whose telephone number is (571) 272-4891. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue. - Fri. 6:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on (571) 272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Timothy L Maust Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

Tlm 3/11/05